



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D. 20231 www.inpin.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/259 427	09/259 427 02/26/1999 MAR		27080	5283

7590 05/22/2002

THOMAS B LUEBBERING HOVEY WILLIAMS TIMMONS & COLLINS 2405 GRAND BOULEVARD SUITE 400 KANSAS CITY, MO 64108

EXAMINER	
NGUYEN, NGA B	_

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3628

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTC

Vu

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action		09/259,427		Sloo	ı				
		Examiner Nga B. Nguy	en	Art Unit 3628					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet wi	th the corres	pondence addr	ess				
There reject allow	REPLY FILED <u>Apr 9, 2002</u> FAILS TO PLACE T refore, further action by the applicant is required to average tion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a time ance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid the abandonment nely filed amendment fee); or (3) a timely f	of this appl which place iled Reques	ication. A pro es the applicat	pper reply to a final tion in condition for				
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]								
	The period for reply expires months from th			5 700 07 (0)					
b)	expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR of is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period frejection.	continues to run from the for the reply expire later t	mailing date han SIX MON	of the final rejec NTHS from the m	tion, whichever nailing date of the final				
ex ap	ctensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ctension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determinate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if clailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce	ining the period of extens m: (1) the expiration dat hecked. Any reply receiv	sion and the o e of the short ed by the Off	corresponding am cened statutory p fice later than th	nount of the fee. The period for reply originally ree months after the				
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on	Appellant's Brief r 1.191(d)), to avoid o	nust be file lismissal of	d within the pe the appeal.	eriod set forth in				
2. 🗆	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the requisite fees.	ne timely submission	of a Notice	of Appeal and	Appeal Brief with				
3.□	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered bed	cause:							
(a)	☐ they raise new issues that would require further	consideration and/or s	search. (Se	e NOTE below	v);				
(b)	\Box they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE t	pelow);							
(c)	\Box they are not deemed to place the application in b	etter form for appeal	by material	lly reducing or	simplifying the				
	issues for appeal; and/or								
(d)	they present additional claims without cancelling	a corresponding num	ber of finall	ly rejected clai	ms.				
	NOTE:								
4. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):							
5. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the nor	n-allowable claim(s).	wo	uld be allowab	le if submitted in a				
6. 🛭	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request application in condition for allowance because: (see the attached written explanation)	for reconsideration ha	s been con	sidered but do	es NOT place the				
7. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed	SOLELY to	issues which	were newly raised				
8. 🕱	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is	as follows (see attac	hed writter	n explanation,	if any):				
	Claim(s) allowed:			•	•				
	Claim(s) objected to:								
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-20								
9. 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	a) 🗆 has	b) 🗆 has no	ot been approv	ed by the Examiner.				
10. 🗆	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	· ·					
11.	Other:								

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/259,427

Art Unit: 3628

ADVISORY ACTION

- 1. This Advisory Action is the answer to the Request for reconsideration filed on April 9, 2002, which paper has been placed of record in the file.
- 2. Claim 1-20 are pending in this application.

Response to Arguments

3. Regarding to claims 1 and 8, Jensen does not disclose the witness selects an authority to whom the incident report should be sent, wherein the authority is selected based at least in part on information provided by the witness and sending the incident report to the selected authority so that the authority can respond to the incident report. Official notice is taken selecting the person to whom the information should be sent based on the contain of information and sending the information to the selected persons via such as electronic mail are old and well-known in the art. For example, in the electronic mail system, the sender accesses the message creation and transmission window, the message sender then created the message, the message sender then accesses the directory such as the address book and selects the recipient to whom the message should be sent based on the information contain in the message. Or, the sender can create a document first and then attach the document in the electronic mail message. Thus, the process of sending an electronic mail message included an attachment from a sender to the selected recipient is well-known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/259,427

Art Unit: 3628

art at the time the invention was made to include the features above with Jensen's for the purpose of sending the information to the selected persons (Prior art is provided: Horovitz et al., US 5,812,759).

Regarding to claim 2, Jensen does not disclose the incident being selected from the group consisting of a criminal act, a legal violation, a sale of a defective product, and a rendering of an unsatisfactory service. Official notice is taken that it is obvious to modify the Jensen's incident by the incident committed by an offender such as a criminal act, a legal violation, etc..., so the process of collecting information directly from a user will work the same for Jensen (Prior art is provided: Stickney et al., US 6,043,813).

Regarding to claim 6, Jensen does not directly the additional identification information being obtained by receiving the additional identification information from the authority based on the identification information entered by the witness. However, Jensen does teach when the user accesses the system database, he/she need to sign-on by provide sign-in code and password (see figures 16-17), and then the user can create or modify the system database (see column 12, lines 49-65). Therefore, it is obvious in Jensen's to receive additional identification information from the authority based on the identification information entered by the witness. In Jensen, the authorized user can provide additional identification information to the select specific records, thus modifying the select specific records.

Regarding to claim 7, Jensen does not disclose the authority is automatically selected by the computer system based on information entered into the incident report by the witness. Official

Application/Control Number: 09/259,427 Page 4

Art Unit: 3628

notice is taken that the computer system is automatically selecting the person or the data based on the information the user entered is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature above with Jensen's for the purpose of sending the information to the person selected by the computer system (Prior at is provided: Costin, US 6,178,413).

Regarding to claims 9-10, Jensen further discloses receiving into the computer system an action report from the authority explaining the action the authority took in response to the incident report and storing the action report along with the incident report in a file accessible by the computer system (figure 10, item 72).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Nga B. Nguyen, whose telephone number is (703)306-2901. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent A. Millin, can be reached on (703)308-1065.

5. Any response to this action should be mail to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

c/o Technology Center 2700

Washington, D.C. 20231

Application/Control Number: 09/259,427

Art Unit: 3628

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 308-5397 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II,

2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington.

VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Nga B. Nguyen May 16, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3800